## Guide for application of the ALP tool

This guide was written for the universal Assessment of Learning Process (ALP) but the principles for application are the same for the ALP tool, version 2.0 and ALP for AAC (Augmentative and Alternative Communication). The guide begins with an introduction of the development and structure of the learning process and the facilitating strategies. Then the principles for application of the ALP-tool are described together with some practical advices. The guide will continuously be refined and updated in response to feedback and questions from users of the ALP-tool. This first version was uploaded 18<sup>th</sup> of July 2023.

# Introduction of the ALP-tool

Tool-use learning is an integral part of developing human behaviour (Nilsson & Durkin, 2017). Human behaviour involves using tools in a variety of activities. Knowledge of the tooluse learning process makes it easier to facilitate learning in others who are beginning to learn or re-learn to use a tool. This guideline explains the ALP's process-based nature, how the universal learning process was discovered, and the principles of applying the ALP-tool. The ALP-instrument is used to identify the child's or adult's starting-point for learning as knowing where the individual is in the process of tool-use understanding guides which facilitating strategies can best promote further learning.

The ALP-tool with instrument and facilitating strategies, can be used to support growing consciousness of any activity involving tool use (Nilsson & Durkin, 2014, Appendix 1 and 2). Tools are defined in a broad sense as the body and/or parts of body and mind, as well as a variety of external objects, artefacts, and technologies. Tool use can range from simple to complex, for example using hand tools such as spoons for self-feeding or complex tools such as smart phones for communication, or technologies for mobility and work. The individuals who learn tool-use may range in age from very young infants to very old adults and have widespread diverse combinations of physical, cognitive, and social abilities. Any human being can be a beginner in learning of new tool use behaviours and start the learning process as a novice tyro learner. (Nilsson & Kenyon, 2022).

Tool-use learning is dynamic and tool-use understanding is constantly changing across the life span. Some tool-use skills you retain throughout life and others you cease to use, or you learn and re-learn. Some tools you learn about how to use just about competently, while with others, you become an expert user. It all depends of your motivation, needs, and circumstances related to your life-trajectory.

## The process-based nature of the ALP

The ALP is used for assessment and facilitation of tool-use learning (Nilsson and Durkin, 2014; Field and Livingstone, 2018). It can be applied in any context involving growing consciousness or learning of how to use a tool. Its process-based nature allows for application with children or adults, who are able-bodied or have impairments, and who are users in any kind of tool in any situation.

Growing consciousness of tool-use (Nilsson, 2007; Nilsson et al., 2011 a) or tool-use learning (Nilsson and Durkin, 2014) is a basic social process. The eight-phase process was discovered and modified in Classic Grounded Theory research carried out by two occupational therapists. This methodology analyses behaviour and search for patterns of behaviour explaining what is going on in the studied situation. The assessment focus on observing indices of behaviour that indicate which phase of tool-use understanding a child or adult are at in the moment. The indicators or characteristics in performance are the same independent of person or tool-use activity. The benefits of looking for characteristic behaviours and patterns, are that it can be done with any activity of the individual's preference, in any place of their choice or availability, and involving any circumstances that may promote their motivation to act and sense trust and security in the tool-use situation (Nilsson and Durkin, 2017, Nilsson and Kenyon, 2022).

## Identification and universality of the tool use-learning process

The discovery of the learning process started with a study involving two pre-school children with profound intellectual and multiple disabilities. The research question was what they could achieve from practising in a joystick-operated powered wheelchair. Their outcomes during their first year of regular practise at a paediatric rehabilitation centre was surprisingly positive. Therefore, the study evolved into the Driving to Learn project (Nilsson and Nyberg, 2003). Classic Grounded Theory methodology was adopted and more participants were engaged one after the other as new hypotheses emerged. The field of study was children and adults with profound cognitive disabilities, but it was challenging to recognise patterns of behaviour changes indicating achievements in this population. One way to solve this issue was to engage typically developing infants, ages 3-12 months, in testing a joystick-operated powered wheelchair (Nilsson and Nyberg, 1998). As the typical development of infants was thoroughly researched, their patterns of behaviours in the powered wheelchair, was reliable to use as a reference for comparison with similar behaviours in the other participants. Another way to support the emerging pattern of phases in the learning process was to engage participants in different ages that had severe, moderate, or mild cognitive disabilities. Finally, emerged an eight-phase process of growing consciousness of joystick-use with indicators that were observed in all participants, despite of their diversity in age, abilities, causes of impairment, gender, or culture. When the Driving to Learn dissertation was presented, it had become obvious that those who learned how to apply the Driving to Learn intervention also went through the same eight phases of learning (Nilsson, 2007 pp 35-36). That insight furthered the idea of the learning process being universal and therefore possible to apply with simpler tools such as a spoon for self-feeding or more complex tools such as computer-based devices for alternative or augmented communication.

## Development and structure of the ALP-tool

Thanks to Livingstone (2010), who in the preparation of her article compared the works of Durkin (2006, 2009) and Nilsson (2007), the two were connected and began their collaboration. They carried out a merging and modification of their findings in previous studies of children and adults with cognitive disabilities practising self-produced powered mobility (Durkin and Nilsson, 2010). The studies they separately presented in 2006 and 2007 were carried out over a very long time period and included a wide variety of participants. The

re-analysis of their data led to conceptualising the learning process at a higher level of abstraction and an expansion of the facilitating strategies (2014 and 2017). Thereby, the identified eight-phase learning process was strengthened and as together with the facilitating strategies it became more process-based in its nature. The benefit of the ALP compared to task-based assessments is that it covers the full continuum of the learning process from novice to expert tool use in any human being (Nilsson and Kenyon, 2022).

In 2017, when Nilsson initiated collaboration with speech-language pathologists, the universality of the ALP-tool was completed by removing all indicators referring to powered mobility use. The outcome of the collaboration was a specific ALP for AAC (ref to webpage n.d. <u>https://www.alpforaac.com/</u>). However, the universal ALP-version was later translated into Swedish and applied in a study of persons with profound intellectual and multiple disabilities learning to use a switch-operated system for self-produced mobility (Modh et al, submitted). Requests have been made for the development of ALP for other tools, such as stepping devices, but this idea falls as you cannot develop a separate ALP for each kind of tool. What is important when applying the universal ALP with new tools are to elaborate on and consider what the characteristic behaviours indicating the eight phases of learning may look like in the specific tool-use activity.

The ALP includes the **ALP-instrument** and the **ALP-facilitating strategies** (see separate files for the full text). The instrument illustrates the eight phases of learning with indicators in five observational categories characterizing each phase in the process (Table 1). The eight phases are divided into three stages of exploration: explore function, sequencing, and performance. The instrument is used to assess an individual's actual stage of exploration and phase of tool use understanding.

	Five observational categories					
Phases of learning	Attention	Activity & movement	Understanding of tool use	Expressions & emotions	Interaction & communication	Stages of exploration
8	indicators	indicators	indicators	indicators	indicators	3 Explore
7	indicators	indicators	indicators	indicators	indicators	Performance
6	indicators	indicators	indicators	indicators	indicators	Body, tool/s, environment & occupation
5	indicators	indicators	indicators	indicators	indicators	2 Explore
4	indicators	indicators	indicators	indicators	indicators	Sequencing Body, tool/s & environment
3	indicators	indicators	indicators	indicators	indicators	1
2	indicators	indicators	indicators	indicators	indicators	Explore
1	indicators	indicators	indicators	indicators	indicators	Functions Body & tool/s

Table 1. ALP-instrument, structure, and concepts

The facilitating strategies provide guidance for how to support learning at each stage and phase of the learning process (Table 2). The choice of facilitating strategies is based on observed tool-use behaviour and determined phase of tool-use understanding. The goal for assessment and facilitation is to support the individual in developing a higher level of tooluse understanding. Each individual has their own learning dynamic and will demonstrate their own unique learning pattern. Our recommendation was to use the ALP-tool for assessment and facilitation for an expanded range of tool use behaviours and activities in human behaviour.

Table 2.	Facilitating	approach	and ALP	-facilitating	strategies
	0				0

FACILITATING APPROACH
-----------------------

Trustful relationship Curiosity and motivation Mutual interaction and dialogue Response, understandable communication Adjusted pace, equipment and situation Useful and predictable tools and resources Phases Specific of strategies Stages of exploration Specific strategies for learning for each of each of the stages the phases 8 strategies 3 strategies **Explore Performance** 7 strategies Body, tool/s, environment & 6 strategies occupation 5 strategies strategies **Explore Sequencing** Body, tool/s & environment 4 strategies 3 1 strategies strategies **Explore Functions** 

Body & tool/s

Inter-rater reliability of the ALP

strategies

strategies

2

1

The original eight-phase process of growing consciousness of joystick-use was tested for inter-rater reliability with very good kappa value (0.85) (Nilsson et al., 2011 b). Version 2 of the ALP-instrument reached the same kappa value when tested by Svensson and Nilsson (2021). When the universal ALP was tested for inter-rater reliability with persons with profound intellectual and multiple disabilities using a system for self-produced mobility, it gave a moderate kappa value (0.50), which was considered good as testing assessment reliability with this population typically gives lower values (Modh et al., submitted).

## Internalizing a mental image of the learning process

It is important to familiarize yourself with the learning process by combining your study of the ALP-instrument with reflections on your own learning experiences, or others learning

4

experiences, that you can see in your everyday life. This reflection helps in becoming more acquainted with the structure and concepts in the ALP-tool. By applying the indicators of phases and stages to your own behaviors and performance of tool-use, it becomes easier to understand what to look for in others' tool-use performance.

When reflecting on your own experiences, begin with thinking of the three stages of exploration. What did you do to find out the functions of the tool? How did you try to sequence acts and actions to make it work the way it is intended to work? How did you integrate use of the tool in your everyday occupations? Table 3, illustrates tool-use behaviours that are characteristic for each stage of exploration. The characteristics are universal and can be observed in any person who explores function, sequencing, or performance of any kind of tool use. Becoming familiar with what tool-use behaviours to look for at each stage of exploration will guide you in identifying the stage. Knowing the stage will guide you in which phases of learning to begin looking for indicators. Familiarising with a few phases of learning at a time makes it easier to identify which indicators in tool-use behaviour to look for in each of the eight phases of learning.

Observed tool use behaviour	Stage 1 Phases 1-3	Stage 2 Phases 4-5	Stage 3 Phases 6-8	
Exploratory behaviour	Exploring Functions	Exploring Sequencing	Exploring Performance	
Using physical force and mental power	<i>Exert</i> To grasp object or thoughts	Exert and grade To handle or elaborate in a working way	<i>Exert, grade, and direct</i> To attain an outcome, reach a goal	
Focusing, attention span	Focus one thing at a time Short attention span	Can focus attention on different things Increasing attention span	Able to focus on multi-tasking Attention adjusted to task demands	
Understanding of tool use	Developing basic tool use	Developing competent tool use	Developing integrated tool use	
Outcome	Finding out WHAT the tool is used for	Finding out HOW to sequence a working pattern	Finding out WHERE and WHEN to use it	

Table 3. Universal characteristics indicating stage of exploration

With increased familiarity with the structure of the learning process comes the internalization of an image of the learning process as a mental grid of the stages and phases and their indicators. To begin with, focus on the most prominent indicators of the three stages. Then focus on what are the most distinguishing or differential for the eight phases. Developing a detailed mental grid enables observation and assessment of tool use behaviour in the moment and on the go, without having to have the ALP-instrument in reach.

5

#### Examples of developing tool-use understanding

To nurture the development of a mental image of the learning process, you can elaborate on what tool-use behaviour in a specific situation may look like in the different phases of the process. You can use examples from your own experiences, or from observing infants or other persons learning experiences. Think of typical tools in everyday life, used by yourself, infants, or persons with disabilities. To begin with focus only on three points in the learning process and elaborate on what tool-use behaviour indicates understanding basic tool-use (phase 3 – understanding what the tool is used for), competent tool-use (phase 6 – knowing how to sequence a working pattern of actions), and integrated tool-use (phase 8 – knowing where and when to use the tool).

Also, think of who the tool-user is and what is their goal of learning. Here is just provided three examples of a simple, a more complex, and a complex and abstract tool, to give food for thought (Table 4-6).

Stage	Phase	Tool-use understanding	Tool-use behaviour indicating understanding
3	8	Integrated	Can direct movement and grade force to self-
Explore		tool-use	feed different foods with precision
performance	7		
	6	Competent tool-use	Can load and transport the food to the mouth without dropping it
2	5		
Explore sequencing	4		
1	3	Basic	Can grasp and hold the spoon handle, can
Explore		tool-use	point the spoon blade aiming at food on a plate
function	2		
	1		

Table 4. Using **a simple tool** such as a spoon with the individual goal to learn self-feeding

Table 5. Using a **more complex tool** as a manual wheelchair with the individual goal to learn driving indoors

Stage	Phase	Tool-use understanding	Tool-use behaviour indicating understanding
3 Explore performance	8	Integrated tool-use	Can navigate in spaces with furniture and in narrow spaces, how to use the wheelchair in other activities
	7		
	6	Competent tool-use	Can combine acts of pushing and pulling on the wheels to turn and move the chair to reach a goal
2	5		
Explore sequencing	4		
1 Explore	3	Basic tool-use	Can grab and pull/push the rings on the wheels to make the chair move in any direction
function	2		
	1		

Table 6. Using a complex more abstract tool such as a smart phone with the individual goal to learn text messaging

Stage	Phase	Tool-use understanding	Tool-use behaviour indicating understanding
3 Explore performance	8	Integrated tool-use	Can use text messaging with new contacts, sending to multiple receivers, text with multiple purposes
	7		
	6	Competent tool-use	Can create and send a text message to a person in the contact list
2	5		
Explore sequencing	4		
1 Explore	3	Basic tool-use	Can find and open a received text message
function	2		
	1		

# Applying the ALP-tool

The main purpose is to apply the ALP-tool *for* learning and growing consciousness of tooluse. Assessing a child's or adult's actual phase in the learning process is done to determine the person's understanding of tool-use. You assess the person's actual understanding to be able to tailor the practice situation and facilitation, so it matches the person's specific abilities, needs, and motives. If you can match understanding of tool-use with the appropriate facilitating strategies – the person can be provided with a challenge that is just right to support their growing consciousness of tool use.

Application of the ALP involves using the facilitating approach, tailoring the tool-use situation for the person, observing behaviours and assessing phase of learning, and noting and responding to oscillation across phases of learning

## Using the facilitating approach

The facilitating approach is used to support and encourage the person's own initiative to find out 'what is this?' 'how do I get an effect?' 'how can I make it work?'. Demonstrate and stay back, wait for the person to act and interact – allow them to reflect over their experiences and support their new attempts to act. Use dialogue to ask questions, explain, confirm, and reflect on experiences and outcomes of tool-use behaviour.

The ALP approach embraces the following guiding principles for assessment and application of facilitating strategies:

#### Building trust and partnership with the person through dialogue

- build a trustful relationship and sense of safety in the situation
- explore the person's abilities, needs, and motives
- be attentive; listen and observe vigilantly and respond aptly to interaction
- be aware of how your interaction and communication is interpreted by the person
- use language/non-verbal communication that suites the person adjust words, body language, facial expressions, signs, content, volume, tone, and emphasis
- strive for mutual interaction and communication
- support problem-solving and understanding with appropriate information, instruction, and questions

# Empowering the person by intertwining tailored practise with new challenges

- adapt the situation and strategies to meet the person's actual tool-use understanding
- adjust the activity to the 'just right level of challenge' for the person
- adapt facilitation to meet the person's drops and peaks in understanding (i.e., oscillation over phases in the learning process)
- increase complexity of tool-use activity
- introduce tool-use in new situations
- introduce tool-use in social settings or group activities

Involving the person in co-construction of the practise situation

- encourage the person's initiatives and choice of activity
- allow trial and error to find working patterns for tool use
- allow the person to take the lead of the activity
- encourage the person to test their own ideas of problem-solving
- allow the person to use the tool in more challenging and unpredictable situations and environments

## Tailoring the tool-use situation to give a just right challenge

Persons who learn tool-use may range considerably in age, abilities, needs, and motives for learning how to use a specific tool. Therefore, gaining personal knowledge of each individual's preferences is important to be able to find and create a tool-use situation that is acceptable and appropriate for the individual. Adjusting and tailoring the situation encourages tool-use behaviour and optimizes opportunities for observing indicators of tool-use understanding.

It is important to continuously observe whether the challenge the person is experiencing in the tool-use situation is just right, too low, or too high. If it is too low, it might be experienced as boring, and if too high, it might be experienced as frustrating or causing anxiety. In both cases, it might result in a shutting-down of explorative behaviours and activity.

The following bullet points gives some advice on considerations to make for each individual and the setup of the practise situation.

- Allow the person to build their own tool-use sequencing patterns (phases 4-5) in a familiar situation before introducing new or more complicated situations.
- The person needs to be able to understand the idea of competent tool use before exploring how to integrate tool-use performance in unfamiliar situations.
- The person needs to learn about a variety of real-life relationships in safe, structured and framed contexts (bodily, physical, spatial, timing, social).
- Exploring tool use in a new situation puts higher demands on the person's attention regulation, such as sharing or shifting attention, and multi-tasking.
- Consider how the person's individual abilities and tool-use understanding may match demands and affordances of a new situation, i.e., visual perception, ability to understand symbols, need for visual contrast and lighting.
- Tool use exploration in well framed environments indoors is easier than in less distinctively framed natural context outdoors, i.e., boundaries indoors are more defined and easier to spatially relate to than boundaries outdoors that are undefined and more distant.
- A tool-use situation affording synchronizing body movement with a tool-use outcome is more demanding as it involves more forces to react to and interact with, i.e., using a spoon for self-feeding, stepping devices, powered mobility devices, equipment for down-hill skiing.
- A tool-use situation involving interaction and communication with unfamiliar people in new situations increases the demand for attention-regulation, i.e., using a device for Augmented and Alternative Communication (AAC) with an unfamiliar person in a shopping mall.

## Observing tool-use behaviours and assessing phase of learning

#### Ongoing observation and assessment in the moment

Look for behaviour matching the indicators in the five observational categories. Observation of engagement in a tool-use situation involves an ongoing observation of tooluse behaviours in the moment. From the beginning to the end of a practise situation, continuously **look for indicators and changes in behaviour** related to tool-use. When observing a new behaviour or a change in patterns of behaviour, make an **assessment in the moment**. Use your internal image of the ALP stages and phases to assess and make mental-notes of actual stage of exploration (exploring functions, sequencing or performance) and phase of learning. Mental-notes and reflections on observed behaviours and changes in the individual's alertness, pace of use, and patterns of understanding across the situation are recalled when determining a person's most stable phase of tool use understanding. Determining stable ALP phase at the end of each practise situation

At the end of a practise situation, use the ALP-instrument to mark-up indicators recognized across the situation. Consider if and how indicators are spread over phases and reflect on patterns in relation to when and how often the indicators were observed from beginning to end of the tool-use situation. If the pattern is scattered over two or more phases it reflects oscillation over phases. Finally determine which stage and phase represents the individual's most stable understanding of tool-use during the situation.



Figure 1. Observe tool-use behaviour and assess phase of tool-use learning in a practise situation.

## Noting oscillation across ALP-phases of learning

Observations of changed or new tool-use behaviour indicates changes in the phase of tooluse understanding. When marking-up indicators in the ALP-instrument, it can become evident that the pattern of indicators is scattered over two or more phases of learning. This indicates that understanding of tool-use has changed from one phase to another, or oscillated in a rising and falling pattern across the practice situation.

The oscillation is due to many factors including a drop in energy, motivation, or attention. Oscillation is a common observation and raising and falling patterns across phases of learning look similar in all stages of exploration. However, some oscillation patterns are specific of Stage 1 and Stage 3. The most marked difference between these stages is the rate of recovery. The tempo for restoration or retrieval will take longer in the lower Stage 1 compared to the higher Stage 3.

Stage 1: Explore Functions

- Short periods at highest level of performance
- After energy/motivation/attention drops, there will be low retrieval back to highest level
- Be aware: it is easy to give too low a challenge

#### Stage 3: Explore Performance

- Long periods at highest level of performance
- After energy/motivation/attention drops, there will be quick restoration to highest level
- Be aware it is easy to give too high a challenge
- Look at causes for dropping performance

#### Responding to oscillation in tool-use understanding

It is important to stay vigilant to recognise eventual oscillation and to be able to accommodate facilitation to a level that matches peaks or drops in understanding of tooluse. As mentioned, changes in a person's energy level, motivation, and attention may cause oscillation in tool-use understanding. Knowing the possibility of oscillation calls for continuously looking for changes indicating drops or peaks in understanding. It is important to be prepared to instantly alter the challenge to an easier or a more complex use of the tool, thereby matching the challenge with the person's tool-use understanding and abilities.

#### If oscillation show a drop of tool-use understanding

- First allow recovery by pausing tool-use behaviour, but stay in the situation and be reassuring.
- A pause of a few minutes may be enough for the person to recover attention and phase of tool-use understanding

#### If oscillation shows either a falling or a raising pattern of tool-use understanding

- Alter speed, pace or tempo down decreased challenge, up increased challenge
- Alter complexity in synchronizing tool-use behaviours *reduce* decreased challenge, *add* increased challenge
- Alter demands for interaction with the physical and social environment

# Considering other aspects of the practise situation

## Using group work or workshops

Establishing a group for the person to practise tool-use within needs careful consideration and ongoing reflection. The number of persons and facilitators working within the group at any one time will impact the dynamics of the learning situation. Each person needs to be assessed individually to determine how they cope within a multi-level interaction situation.

#### Stage 2: Explore Sequencing

Persons in phase 4 (Advanced beginner) and phase 5 (Sophisticated beginner) can benefit from being part of a parallel group where learners and facilitators are working together in a situation, but there is no expectation for multi-level interaction; the space is large enough to

allow areas of tranquillity. In this stage the person will need to spend time on their own out of the group and can benefit from spending some time just observing other learners.

#### Stage 3: Explore Performance

Persons need to have reached phase 6 (Competent) before they can practise and be attentive within a group setting. Their main activity focus at this level is to use the tool purposefully (or be goal-directed in their behaviour) and they often ignore people around them. A group environment can be used to gradually introduce development of multi-level interactions and awareness of others.

Facilitators need to be aware that tool-use practise in a multi-level interaction environment is harder to supervise and guide. Persons may display intense emotions particularly in respect to experiencing frustration and developing their own judgments towards peers and learning to interact with and/or take care of/consider, others.

#### Using alternative tool/s

At times of learning or re-learning to use a tool, the person may find it a struggle to find working patterns for how to use it in the desired way. If motivation is low and the outcome experienced is felt to be not worth the effort, then alternative tools are considered. Some examples from everyday life are when someone gives up struggling with chopsticks and instead uses knife and fork; or someone who finds it hard to balance a bicycle uses it with training wheels or shifts to a tricycle instead. In rehabilitation someone with impaired motor control may give up struggling with fine precision of joystick-use and add obstacle avoidance sensors on their powered wheelchair as an alternative.

It may be appropriate to consider introducing additional equipment or to review other tool/s in order to address the person's frustration from prolonged efforts of using equipment which consistently fails them. Ask the person what they would prefer as they will now have reached a stage of understanding about how the tool/s operates, specifically in relation to sequencing of actions to meet environmental circumstances.

It is important to wait until the person has reached the stage of exploring sequencing before considering additional or other tool/s. If new equipment is provided at an earlier stage, they will not be given the opportunity to finalise their exploration of competent tool use and build understanding of how to sequence working patterns for goal-directed tool use.

The person needs opportunities to make trials and errors to explore which actions cause favourable or negative tool-use outcomes. The experiences of unexpected impact and feedback guide the search for working patterns of sequencing the tool-use activity. Through becoming in control of an increasing number of chains and sequences of tool-use patterns, the person can assemble the full tool-use control pattern, enabling goal-directed tool-use performance.

It can be very confusing for the person to suddenly have the facilitator or the tool/s take over handling or operation which will then reduce their opportunity for developing their own strategies for more complex operation and problem-solving.

Additional equipment or new tool/s can assist the person who becomes too frustrated at their consistent low level of success when trying to operate within more complex situations/environments or who needs an electronic mechanism to assist with the preservation of their energy levels.

## Using a joystick or a joystick-mouse

Many assistive technology devices can be used with either a joystick or a joystick-mouse as operating tool, i.e., devices for powered mobility or computerized technology for communication, gaming, etc. Consideration needs to be given to the benefits of using a joystick or joystick-mouse before deciding to trial any other access methods. The initial learning curve for a joystick or joystick-mouse may be longer, but the long-term benefit of this type of access method is its applicability to numerous technologies and the minor physical and cognitive efforts afforded to use it.

Why a joystick is a magic tool!

- Able to explore with a limited range of physical ability
- One tool used from simple to complex operations
- If joystick is used from the start, change to another operating tool is avoided
- Physical operation of the tool gives sensory feed-back and can be visually observed
- Tool-use understanding can be achieved by physical exploration, due to the joystick's intuitive function; verbal instruction can encourage exploration and explain effects of tool use.

Using a joystick with a motorised device set at low speed, or a joystick-mouse for computerized technology set at low velocity of cursor movement, may give a false impression of proficiency. The low setting allows the person to work in *one-range* of graded adjustment of movements i.e., in the outer physical boundary felt when operating the joystick or joystick-mouse. The true proficiency can be checked by increasing the speed/velocity setting, if the person masters control at the faster speed, then grading of operating movements are fine-graded and *multi-ranged*.

# References

Durkin, J. (2006). *Developing powered mobility with children who have multiple and complex disabilities: Moving forward* (Publication No. Ethos ID: 426977) [Doctoral dissertation, University of Brighton]. Brighton, UK. http://ethos.bl.uk/OrderDetails.do?uin=uk.bl.ethos.426977

Durkin, J. (2009). Discovering powered mobility skills with children: 'responsive partners' in learning. *International Journal of Therapy & Rehabilitation*, *16*(6), 331-341. https://doi.org/10.12968/ijtr.2009.16.6.42436 13

Durkin, J., & Nilsson, L. (2010). Modification and expansion of an assessment tool for powered mobility use. Oral presentation at 4th International Interdisciplinary Conference on Posture and Wheeled Mobility, Glasgow, Scotland.

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/233820050\_Modification\_and\_expansion\_of\_an\_assessment\_tool\_for \_powered\_mobility\_use

Field, D., & Livingstone, R. (2018). Power mobility skill progression for children and adolescents: a systematic re-view of measures and their clinical application. *Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology*, 60, 997-1011. https://doi.org/10.1111/dmcn.13709

Livingstone, R. (2010). A critical review of powered mobility assessment and training for children. *Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology*, 5, 392-400. https://doi.org/10.3109/17483107.2010.496097

Modh, Öhrvall & Nilsson, (submitted) Assessing tool-use learning in persons with profound intellectual and multiple disabilities. (Australian Occupational Therapy Journal)

Nilsson, L. (2007). Driving to Learn: the process of growing consciousness of tool use: a grounded theory of *de-plateauing* (Publication Number 2007:34) [Doctoral dissertation, Lund University]. Lund, Sweden https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.15543.60327

Nilsson, L., & Durkin, J. (2014). Assessment of learning powered mobility use - Applying grounded theory to occupational performance. *Journal of Rehabilitation Research and Development*, *51*(6), 963-974. https://doi.org/10.1682/JRRD.2013.11.0237

Nilsson, L., & Durkin, J. (2017). Powered mobility intervention: understanding the position of tool use learning as part of implementing the ALP tool. *Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology*, *12*(7), 730-739. https://doi.org/10.1080/17483107.2016.1253119

Nilsson, L., Eklund, M., & Nyberg, P. (2011 b). Driving to Learn in a powered wheelchair: inter-rater reliability of a tool for assessment of joystick-use. *Australian Occupational Therapy Journal*, *58*(6), 447-454. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-1630.2011.00983.x

Nilsson, L., Eklund, M., Nyberg, P., & Thulesius, H. (2011 a). Driving to learn in a powered wheelchair: The process of learning joystick use in people with profound cognitive disabilities. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 65(6), 652-660. https://doi.org/10.5014/ajot.2011.001750

Nilsson, L., & Kenyon, L. (2022). Assessment and intervention for tool-use in learning powered mobility intervention: a focus on tyro learners. *Disabilities*, 2(2), 304-316. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/disabilities2020022</u>

Nilsson L., & Nyberg P. (1998). Training in powered wheelchair, benefits for individuals at an early developmental level. Poster presentation at The 12th Congress of the World Federation of Occupational Therapists, Montreal, Canada. https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.1.1016.5608

Nilsson L., & Nyberg P. (2003). Driving to learn: a new concept for training children with profound cognitive disabilities in a powered wheelchair. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 57, 229–233.

Svensson, E., & Nilsson, L. (2021). Inter-rater reliability of the assessment of learning powered mobility use, version 2.0, when applied with children and adults engaged in Driving to Learn in a powered wheelchair. *Australian Occupational Therapy Journal*, 68(2), 115-123. https://doi.org/10.1111/1440-1630.12709